Mechanics

Measurement and Uncertainty

- The SI-System
- Units and Conversion
- Significant figures
- Uncertainty

The SI-System

- Length:
- Mass:
- Time:
- Temperature:

kilogram
second
kelvin

meter

Prefixes	:	
tera giga mega kilo	T G M k	10 ¹² 10 ⁹ 10 ⁶ 10 ³
deci centi	d C	10 ⁻¹ 10 ⁻²
milli	m	10 ⁻³
micro	μ	10-6
nano	n	10^{-9}
рісо	р	10-12



Historical 18th century standard-meter at 36, rue de Vaugirard in Paris [Photo by LPLT from Wikimedia Commons https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:M%C3%A8tre-%C3%A9talon_Paris.JPG License: CC BY-SA 3.0 https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/3.0] SI: International System of Units

US: United States customary units (similar in most cases to the old Imperial System)

	SI		US	Conversion
Length:	Meter	[m]	foot [ft]	1.000 ft= 0.3048 m
Force:	Newton	[N]	Kilo-pound [kip],[kips]	1.00 kip = 4450 N

Unit Conversion (Unit analysis)

New Unit = Old Unit x $-\frac{\text{New Unit value}}{\text{Old Unit value}}$

→ Also see https://youtu.be/dumXDIANJA8

Example 1: Convert 300 m to km

$$300 \text{ m x} \frac{1 \text{ km}}{1000 \text{ m}} = 300 \text{ x} 1 / 1000 \text{ km} = 0.3 \text{ km}$$

Example 2: Convert 5.0 km/h to m/s $5.0 \frac{\text{km}}{\text{h}} \times \frac{1000 \text{ m}}{1 \text{ km}} \times \dots$

Significant figures

→ Also see https://youtu.be/Kfy_Sd3jSCk

... why 2 cm and 2.0 cm is not the same



- It is not possible to make an exact measurement.
- The last written digit is the estimated digit
- \rightarrow 2 cm : Anything between 1 and 3 cm
- \rightarrow 2.0 cm: Anything between 1.9 and 2.1 cm

Uncertainty

→ Also see https://youtu.be/Kfy Sd3jSCk

Similar to significant figures, uncertainty is used to specify how "uncertain" a measurement is.

Uncertainty specifies, how much the measurement could be off.

Report an accurate measurement (meaning the "real" value is Goal: within the specified range)

Examples of stating uncertainty:

Range: Absolute Uncertainty: (7.04 ± 0.02) cm Relative Uncertainty: $(5 \pm 1\%)$ cm

7.02-7.06 cm

Uncertainty is influenced by:

- the tool
- the method used
- the experimenter
- the object
- etc.

Stefan Bracher

- -> The influence of the tool is often OVERESTIMATED
- -> There are methods, that, using the same tool, improve precision dramatically
- -> Often referred to "the human error". It should be accounted for when specifying the uncertainty
- -> Irregular shape, constantly moving...

→ Also see https://youtu.be/e-Eb2wdZ-Q

- **Precise:** Repeated measurements give similar (not necessarily correct) values
- Accurate: Repeated measurements produce values around the correct value
- **Goal:** Being precise AND accurate
- Worst case scenario: Being precise but not accurate

Example: a hockey player that always shoots the puck exactly 3 meters left of the goal

Why is this the worst case scenario?

- It is often difficult to detect
- High precision (for example of a digital scale with 20 digits) will make us think that our measurement is necessarily also accurate.
- Most (statistical) methods for determining the uncertainty are based on precision, thus we will report an incorrect uncertainty (by increasing the uncertainty, we would increase the accuracy of the measurement, while sacrificing precision)

Stefan Bracher



Stefan Bracher

Uncertainty from a measurement can be determined through:

• Estimation

-> See https://youtu.be/9YriBMqMx7c

- Statistics
 - Min-Max method
 - Average and standard deviation
- -> See https://youtu.be/gHLzzzDohdE
- -> See https://youtu.be/2ld3uFfRVt0

Calculations with uncertainties

Resulting uncertainties based on calculations with values with uncertainties:

Addition/Subtraction

Add absolute uncertainties

Multiplication/Division

More complex operations

Add relative uncertainties

Use the min-max method

 \rightarrow Also see https://youtu.be/7Q-zuT9cbeo and https://youtu.be/Lrkd6yHjTRI